| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | 10/586,297 | FABER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | JONATHAN WOOD | 3754 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Non-Finally Rejected</u> | | |
| (1) <u>JONATHAN WOOD</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <i>Walter Fasse</i> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 28 September 2010 | Time: <u>3 <i>PM</i></u> | | |
| Type of Interview: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1 and 20 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview | |
| /JKW/ Examiner, Art Unit 3754 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated to applicant that independent claims 1 and 20 were in condition for allowance but that a new matter issue was present in applicant's amendment dated 7/19/2010. Specifically, applicant added new language in the specification and claims which states that the 'shear-cutting edges' are 'acute'. Applicant stated that basis for this language can be found in Figure 2. Examiner disagreed with this ascertion and therefore requested to eliminate the word 'acute' from claims 1, 20, and the specification via examiner's amendment. Applicant agreed. Consequently, the application is now in condition for allowance..